

Docket No. F-9191

Ser. No. 10/593,014

REMARKS

Claims 68-93 remain pending in this application. Claims 68-93 are rejected. Claims 1-67 are previously cancelled. Claims 68, 75, 82 and 90 are amended herein to clarify the invention. Claim 68 is amended to include in the method that the straightening device is mounted on the grinding machine. It is submitted that the claim has been interpreted by the Examiner as inherently including this feature, and that this feature has already been addressed by the Examiner in relation to claim 82 which indicated that the straightening device is fixed on the grinding headstock. Hence, it is submitted that the amendments of the claims do not introduce new issues for consideration and entry of the present amendments is respectfully requested.

It is further submitted that, for reasons elaborated on below, both rejections of the independent method claim 68 and the independent apparatus claim 82 were deficient in view of the claims *prior to the present amendments*, and hence the present amendments cannot be said to necessitate new grounds of rejections because new grounds would be required *absent* the present amendments. The anticipation rejection of claim 68 is *prima facie* deficient in that the Colonius reference did not teach both grinding and straightening on a grinding machine. The obviousness rejection of claim 82 *prior to present amendments*, is deficient as